

Im

Docket No.: 5000-0192PUS1

(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of: Jordi TORMO I BLASCO et al.

Application No.: 10/589,876

Confirmation No.: 2828

Filed: August 18, 2006

Art Unit: N/A

For: 5,6-DIALKYL-7-

Examiner: Not Yet Assigned

AMINOTRIAZOLOPYRIMIDINES, METHOD FOR THEIR PRODUCTION, THEIR USE FOR CONTROLLING PATHOGENIC FUNGI, AND AGENTS CONTAINING SAID COMPOUNDS

LETTER

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Subsequent to the filing of the above-identified application on August 18, 2006, attached hereto is an English translation of the International Preliminary Examination Report on Patentability issued by the International Bureau on behalf of Searching Authority. Please make this document of record for the above- identified application.

Application No.: 10/589,876 Docket No.: 5000-0192PUS1

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or to credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: November 27, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

Andrew D. Meikle

Registration No.: 32,868

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Road

Suite 100 East P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicant

Attachment(s)



PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

		 								
Applicant's or agent's file reference 0000055414 FOR FURTHER A			CTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416						
International application No.			te (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)						
PCT	/EP2005/002426	08.03.200	5	10.03.2004						
Internati	onal Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and	IPC							
C07D487/04, A01N43/90										
Applicant BASF Aktiengesellschaft										
1.	 This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 									
2.	This REPORT consists of a to	otal of	sheets, includi	ng this cover sheet.						
3.	This report is also accompani	ed by ANNEXES, comprising:								
	a. (sent to the applic	cant and to the International Bi	reau) a total of	sheets. as follows:						
-	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).									
	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.									
	b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s))									
		•								
	containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).									
4.	This report contains indication	ns relating to the following iter	ms:							
	Box No. I Bas	is of the report								
	Box No. II Price	prity								
	Box No. III No.	n-establishment of opinion with	h regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability							
	Box No. IV Lac	k of unity of invention								
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability: citations and explanations supporting such statement									
	Box No. VI Certain documents cited									
	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application									
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application										
Date of submission of the demand			Date of completion of	this report						
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP			Authorized officer							
Favrimila No			Telephone No							

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/EP2005/002426

Box	No. I	Basis of the report						
l.		regard to the language, this report is based on the internation ated under this item.	nal application in the language in	which it was filed, unless otherwise				
		This report is based on translations from the original language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purpose.						
		international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))						
		publication of the international application (Rule 12.4)						
		international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and/o	or 55.3)					
2.	recei	th regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the eiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to sreport):						
		the international application as originally filed/furnished						
	\boxtimes	the description:						
		pages <u>1-18</u>		as originally filed/furnished				
		pages*	received by this Authority on					
		pages*	received by this Authority on					
	\boxtimes	the claims:						
		nos.	·	as originally filed/furnished				
		nos.*	as amended (togethe	r with any statement) under Article 19				
		nos.* 1-10	received by this Authority on	16.05.2006 with telefax				
		nos.*	received by this Authority on					
		the drawings:						
		sheets		as originally filed/furnished				
		sheets*						
		sheets*						
				isting				
		a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.						
<u>.5.</u>	ш	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:						
			the description, pages					
		the claims, nos.						
		the drawings, sheets/figs						
		the sequence listing (specify):						
-4.	Ш	This report has been established as if (some of) the amend they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as fil						
		the description, pages						
		the claims, nos.						
		the drawings, sheets/figs						
		the sequence listing (specify):						
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):						
*	If ite	m 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "supe	rseded."					

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/EP2005/002426

		Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
1.	Statement				
,	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-10	YES
:			Claims		мо
	Inventive s	tep (IS)	Claims	1-10	YES
			Claims		NO
	Industrial a	pplicability (IA)	Claims	1-10	YES
			Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

V.1 Cited documents

- D1: EP-A-0 141 317 (BASF AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT)
 15 May 1985 (1985-05-15)
- D2: WO 03/009687 A (BASF AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT; TORMO

I BLASCO, JORDI; SAUTER, HUBERT; MUELLE)

6 February 2003 (2003-02-06)

- D3: EP-A-0 215 382 (BASF AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT)
 25 March 1987 (1987-03-25)
- D4: GB-A-1 148 629 (VEB. DEUTSCHES HYDRIERWERK RODLEBEN) 16 April 1969 (1969-04-16)
- D5: EP-A-0 770 615 (AMERICAN CYANAMID COMPANY;

 BASF AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT) 2 May 1997

 (1997-05-02)

The same designations will be used throughout the procedure.

V.2 Novelty

V.2.1 The subject matter of claims 1-10 is encompassed in generic terms by the subject matter of claims 1-6 of document D1. However, D1 does not include any specific individual compounds which fall within the present claims: in those specific D1 compounds in which

International application No.
PCT/EP2005/002426

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

 R^2 is n-propyl or n-butyl, the R^1 radical falls just outside the range claimed in the application, and, in those specific D1 compounds in which R^1 falls within the range claimed here, R^2 is not n-propyl or n-butyl (see D1, table on pages 9 and 10). The subject matter of the present claims therefore involves a new selection from D1.

- V.2.2 The subject matter of the present claims differs from the disclosure in D2 by the definition of the R^2 group, which corresponds to the X group in D2.
- V.2.3 The subject matter of the present claims differs from the compounds disclosed in D3 at least in that the radical corresponding there to the R^1 group always bears an aryl group.
- ${f V.2.4}$ In the compounds disclosed in D4, the alkyl group corresponding to ${f R}^1$ has only a maximum of 4 carbon atoms.
- V.2.5 D5 discloses a process for preparing the intermediates of the formulae IV and V. However, in the compounds mentioned specifically in D5, the radical corresponding to the R^1 group is phenyl.
- V.2.6 The subject matter of all present claims is therefore novel.

V.3 Inventive step

V.3.1 According to the description, the problem underlying the application is considered to be that of providing 5,6-dialkyl-7-aminotriazolopyrimidines which are superior in their fungicidal action to the similar compounds known from D1.

International application No.
PCT/EP2005/002426

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- V.3.2 Relevant prior art for the subject matter of claim 1 is the documents D1 to D3, since they disclose fungicidally active 7-aminotriazolopyrimidines. The structurally closest prior art is D1, since it discloses compounds from which the compounds according to the application differ either only with regard to the R¹ group (compounds 16, 21, 23, 42 and 48 from D1) or from which they differ only with regard to the R² group (e.g. compounds 4, 10, 11, 12, 29 from D1). In generic terms, the compounds according to the application are encompassed completely by the disclosure of D1 (see D1, claims).
- V.3.3 A person skilled in the art faced with the problem defined above would certainly be induced by the general disclosure from D1 to prepare novel compounds which are, though, within the generic disclosure of D1, while being able to assume that these compounds would likewise have fungicidal properties. This is precisely what has been done in the present case. The applicant has combined R¹ groups of compounds mentioned explicitly in D1 and R² groups of other compounds mentioned explicitly in D1 and has thus arrived directly at the compounds according to the application. It is therefore fundamentally unsurprising that the compounds according to the application likewise have fungicidal action, like the D1 compounds.
- V.3.4 The applicant has submitted comparative tests between particular compounds according to the application and compounds mentioned specifically in D1, from which it is evident that, when R^2 is n-propyl or n-butyl and R^1 simultaneously corresponds to the specific definition

PCT/EP2005/002426

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

given in claim 1 (a combination as has not been verified in D1), a significant improvement in the action against particular harmful fungi occurs compared to structurally similar compounds mentioned specifically in D1. For comparison, compounds from D1 whose substituent corresponding to R^2 is likewise n-propyl or n-butyl were used, such that the structural difference is determined merely by R^1 . In this context, an unforeseeable significant improvement in action occurred in the tested compounds according to the application with the R^1 radicals = C_5 -alkyl or C_9 -alkyl or C_6 -alkoxyethylene or C_8 -alkoxyethylene.

- V.3.5 The comparative tests show that, with the subject matter of the claims, the above-defined technical problem has indeed been solved. The improvement in action owing to the specific substituent R^1 , which has been made plausible with the comparative experiments, is not suggested in the relevant prior art and is therefore surprising.
- **V.3.6** Inventive step can therefore be acknowledged for the subject matter of the present claims 1-10.

V.4 Industrial applicability

The subject matter of claims 1-10 is industrially applicable.